The Athenian Mercury.

Monday, June 14. 1697.

Quest 1. Werily believe the Divinity of our Blessed Saviour, but yet must confess I have met with several Objections against it, which I wish

I culd fee clearly Answer'd. The Principal are these following. I. That 'tis not so much as presended to be believ'd, any more than the Trinity, by the ems and Heathens. 2. That all the Texts that are brought to prove it out of the Scriptues, are given up by one Writer, or other, as ineffetual for that End. 3. That Polytheism is ne objected against the Ancient Christians by their Alversaries, which they would not have omitted had they morshipt our Saviour. Nor, 4. They say, is there my thing to be found relating to his Divinity, it the full Christian Writers, Clemens, and the rest, -- which, in the 5th place they conclude was introduc'd into the Church from the Schools of Plato, when the Christians began to be infected with his vain Philosophy, about the Time of the Nicene Council. If you please to solve these difficulties, I believe you may do service to Religion, and oblige many others as well as Yours, &c.

Ausw. We have reply'd to the former part of the rest of these Objections, in Numb. 2. As to the Jews. We proceed to the 2d part of it which relates to the Heathen. And that the Learned amongst 'em did believe a Trinity, and contequently a 2d Person, and him God; furely there's little need of proving, when the 5th Objection is grounded upon it, and it has given occasion to one of the most plausible pleas which the Adversaries of this Doarine produce against it. Nothing can give what it has not, and it the Christians Learnt the Trinity from the Platonists, whichhowever we shall hereafter prove, they never did, they must have had it to teach them. But we need not be oblig'd either to their Concessions, or Objections, fince it has already been abundantly provid by Learned Men, that the most Ancient and Learned of the Heathens, of almost all Sects. did own a Trinity; and if not exactly in the same manner with the Orthodox, that has been accounted for already in the tormer Mercury.

The most Ancient Chaldaic and Persian Philosophers, the followers of Zoroaster, did affert it. Mithras is call'd, Jinhaos or Treble, amongst 'em. This Dionisius Witnesses of Mithras, and Plutarch does the same of Oromasses, (whence Cudworth concludes they were the same Deity) further affirming of Zoroaster, that he made a Three-fold dissirbution of Things. And Proclus, from the Chaldaic traditional Theology, affirms, that the whole World was compleated by Three: Namely Psyche, or the mundan Soul, Zus, or Jupiter, and the Demiurgus, or Maker of the World.

2. A Trinicy was also acknowledg'd in the Orphaic Philosophy, which was famous long before Aristotle; and the Orphean Hymns are quoted and condemned by Plato, Hiraclitus, Tully, and several others. Which appears, first from that Hieroglyphic, wherein he describes the Deity in such a manner, that his Pagan Interpreters did thereby understand Three Principles. 1. An Incorporeal Mind, or Jupiter, the supreme Father. 2: Hercules, or his Son. 3. Nature, or the Spirit, or Soul of the World: Again Suidas fays of him, that he ascribes Three Names, Life, Counsel, and Light, to the Maker of all things; Which in Proclus are call'd, Phanes, Uranus, and Chronus, from the same Orpheus. Damascio says the same, that Orpheus introduc'd, βιμορφον Θεόν, a Triform God: And Timotheus the Chronographer affirms the fame with Suidas before, as Cedrenus assures us.

3. The same seems to be afferted in the Genuine Egyptian Philosophy, as we have it from Heathens themselves, and not only from Christians, Cheremon in Porphyry telling us, that they held these three Principles, Mind, Reason, and Nature: Many other Instances of the like kind we might produce out of the Hermaic Writings, which we at present omit, because of the Objections which Cafaubon and others raise against them; tho their chief prejudice is easily answer'd. The Notions in 'em, say they, are purely Platonical, and therefore Novel, and not Genuine; but this is by no means a just confiquence, for both Plate and Pythagoras, as is notoriously known, had most of their Reasonings of this Nature from the Egyptians: Nay, Piato borrows at 2d hand too, and had many of his from Pythagoras. But of this more hereafter.

4. Pythagoras held a Trinity. The Monas, the Nous, and the Phoche. Plutarch tells us, that he call'd the First Hypostatis, το έν, and μόνας, and τὸ Αγαθόν. And indeed if Pythagoras held the same Doctrines with Orpheus, as tis evident for the most part he did, he must also own a Trinity.

Parmondes also, if we may believe Plato concerning him, held and taught the same, tho as some of the others, not in the same manner with the Christians, afterwards, for the Heathers we think, did generally believe Three sulordinate Unities. The Ev To Tav; Ev Tolla, and Ev Mass manner, one-All; one-many; and oneand many.

5. As for Plato, we suppose he'll be Given us. For none can deny his, τὸ εν, his Νοῦς, or Δημικεργός, and his ψακ. Or that he calls the first Hypostasis the Father, the second, the Logos, Word, or Reason, or Counsel (as Orphes

Orpheus before him) and afferts him the An IDE A of Mr. Turner's Histor of the Maker of the World.

6. Plutarch, the most Learned of the Pagan Authors, and best vers'd in their Mystic Theology, as well Egyptian as more Modern, has several Passages which look the same way, as cannot but be observ'd by any who carefully read him: Particularly, we tock Notice of that which Dr. Cudworth mentions, concerning Thespesius Solensis, who reviving from a long Extaly, affirm'd, that while he lay in that condition he faw Three Gods, in the Form of a Triangle, pouring streams into one another. And this Plutarch mentions, as not himself disbelieving it. And what follows; Of Orpheus his Soul, going fo far as this Triangle plainly refers, to his Doctrine of the Trinity already mention'd.

7. Let any one read Julian the Apostates Works, especially his oration de matre Deorum, and they'll find it not a Trinity expresly, yet a Father and Son; to which most of 'em add, after the Platonish and Pythagoreans, a 3d Principle, or Soul of the World. Tho this Ancient Divine tradition miserably deform'd with their Novel, Poetical, or Physiological Fables. For Example, what they found in the Ancient Oracles, or Philoso phers concerning the Father, or Fountain of all things, they numerically attribute to their fupiter: What to the Son, to Hercules; or to the Sun in the Firmament, which some of 'em reckon'd the Body of the Demiurgus, or Maker of the World: What to the Spirit, they to Pallas, or it may be to Isis, or Cybele; which sometimes they make the Mother of the Gods, sometimes the Daughter of Jupiter, as they also made the Logos, or Evas. son etimes the First, sometimes the Last of their Deities: Nor can we tell how to think, that some strange Passages which we read in Epictetus and others, concerning the Son of God, and which we doubt not others alto have observed, were borrow'd from the Christians, as has been the Opinion of some Learmed Men, because 'tis hardly probable the Philosophers would be beholden for these things, to those whom they fo much despise, and so mortally perfecuted and hated; whence it appears to us more probable, that they had em from the Ancient Arcana of their own Writers. And we cou'd prove some Footsteps of a Trinity alloand particularly of God the Son in several other Nations, who have had no converse with the Learned VViold. But shall only instance at presence in the Inhabitants of Ceylon, who, as Knox tells us, in his Account of their Divinity, after the supreme God, stied in their Language, offa-polla-maupt, the Original and Governor of all things, do believe a Second God, whom they call Buddow, to whom the salvation of Souls belongs. But we hope this will suffice, and we may reft this part of the Argument here, till we see what we have advanced, tairly An-Iwer'd, and if we have in our Aniwer to the le cond Branch of the first Objection, prov'd more then we undertook, Namely, that the Trinity it Telf, as well as the Divinity of a Son of God, was in great measure known to the Learned Heathen WVorld, we hope, none will be angry with us, for giving over-measure, and being better then our mora.

most Remarkable Providences with have hapned in this Present Age, latly Pub. lith'd.

THE Materials which the Authorhas made use dare the Best Authors who wrote before him on that ubict, and the Manuscripts and Informations of such Persons of Note (now living) as were pleased to Communicate ht latter to him, of whom he hath very Ingenuously exhibited Caralogue at the End of his Introduction, belides the freat Number of other Persons, and Modern books, that herites

throughout the Work.

The Method he follows, is to rank every thing underts proper Head, of which there are 150 in the first Fart, hat may most properly be called, The History of Providence --There are 56 Heads in the 2d Part, which contain he Wonders of Nature -- and 17 Heads in the 3d, which gie an Account of the Curiofities of Art, and all those subde vided into Particular Instances; many of which are new and extraordinary, and upon the whole, it must be owned to be the most Compleat Collection of the Kind that is ex tant, and cannot but be useful and entertaining to Men c all Parties and Capacities --- Mr. Turner is very happy it his Introductory Prefaces to every distinct Head, wherein he does express the meaning and defign of that Head clearly and concisely, by such General Observations and Iruths as no Party of Christians can well controvert.

His First Chapter treats concerning the Appearance and Manifestation of God himself in the World.

His Chapters of the Appearances of Good and bad Angels, &c. Contain the Marrow of all that pleasant and diverting Part of History, that is to be found in o ther Authors, bendes many NEW INSTANCES never before publisht; which are enough to convince the Scepticks and Atheists of the Age; the same may be said as to his Chapters of the Appearance of Separate Souls, the discovery of Secrets, or things to come by Audible Voices, Signs, Sounds, Dreams, Visions, and Impulses, &c.

His disquisition upon the Urim, Thummim, Teraphim, &c. Is Curious and Learned; His Premonitions of General, or Particular Changes and Accidents of Life, &c. Is of the like Nature. And his Instances of Strange Convisions and Conversions, (particularly that late instance of Mr. Studly, & Kentish Gentleman, sent to him by a worthy Person now living in Hogsdon- quare) are surprizing. At the End of this Chapter is added, A Rema kable Account of the Conversions of Jeveral Indians, with the dying Speeches of 8 of them; as Publish'd by the Reverend Mr. Eliot, the First Preacher of the Gospel to the Heathen Indians in America. These Relations were fent to Mr. Turner, by a Friend of his that brought them with him, from Boston in New England; and are so great a RAKIIT, that 'twas with great difficulty he procured them in New England (where they were Printed) neither, was there a Copy of them to be found in London.

To the Chapter of Remarkable Zeal is annext Mr. Albyn's Evidences for Heaven (subscrib'd by Mr. Calamy and two other Divines, as sufficient Grounds of affurance for Heaven); these Evidences were never printed before, and are of great use to direct us throughout the whole course

of our Lives.

In short, here is fit entertainment for Persons of all forts, and in all conditions, many late Instances to encourage PIETY AND DEVOTION, several Chapters of Remarkable Judgments upon Sins of all forts, to deter the wicked

from their mischievous Practices.

The Author hath particularly provided for the CURI-OUS in his Accounts of LAST WILLS, which are remarkable either for the fingularity of themselves, or of the Testators, and it cannot chuse but be an acceptable Entertainment to fee here the LAST WILLS of Calvin and Bellarmine, the two Great Antesignani of their several Parties; by which the difference of the Spirits, with which they were Acted is very difcernable This Chapter also contains the Modern Wills of the most eminent Perfons that have dyed in this present Age; 'no less diverting is Mr. Turners Collection of odd Epitaphs, and there's no coubt, but the Chapter containing the Memorable speeches and sayings of the late 2. Mary, from her Birth down to the time of Dr Death, will be very acceptable, as will the Chapter of thole executed in K. James's time.